












# REDD+ Scorecard UNFCCC COP19, SBSTA39, November 2013

Issue	WWF REDD+ Expectations	Results	Score
SBSTA	<p><b>1) Reference Levels</b>  <b>Guidelines for technical assessment of RL:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Objective: offering a facilitative and iterative technical exchange of information that results in a robust RLs (option 2 for the objectives included in the Annex II of document FCCC/SBSTA/2013/L.12)</li> <li>Scope &amp; type of information: covering the issues regarding transparency, consistency, accuracy, etc. (option B section of Annex II of document FCCC/SBSTA/2013/L.12)</li> </ul> <p><b>Procedures for technical assessment of RL:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>RLs technical assessed by an assessment team in accordance with the procedures and timeframes established in guidelines (of Annex II of document FCCC/SBSTA/2013/L.12) as well as with the proposals on composition of the team</li> </ul> <p><b>2) MRV</b>  <b>Finalize the methodological guidance for the process of MRV</b>, adopting the International Consultation and Analysis process:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Seeking for consistency with previous and future decisions (e.g. 4/CP.15, MRV for Nationally Appropriate Mitigation Actions, or NAMAs);</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>✓ Decision in line with option 2 for the objectives included in the Annex II of document FCCC/SBSTA/2013/L.12.</li> <li>✓ Decision in line with option B section of Annex II of document FCCC/SBSTA/2013/L.12 with minor but rather improved section.</li> <li>✓ Decision in line with Annex II of document FCCC/SBSTA/2013/L.12.</li> <li>✓ In addition to two LULUCF experts, one developing country expert from the Consultative Group of Experts on National Communications will act as an observer to the technical assessment.</li> <li>✓ The timeline for submissions by parties and assessment by experts have been slightly modified in favor of parties and assessment experts.</li> <li>✓ Decision in line with Annex I of document FCCC/SBSTA/2013/L.12.</li> </ul>	   



# REDD+ Scorecard UNFCCC COP19, SBSTA 39 November 2013

Issue	WWF REDD+ Expectations	Results	Score
SBSTA:	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Deciding that data &amp; information should be transparent, consistent over time and with the established R(E)L, and submitted through the Biennial Update Reports (BURs);</li> <li>Supply a technical annex as per paragraph 20 of annex 3 of decision 2/CP.17 (paragraph 9 of Annex I of document FCCC/ SBSTA/2013/L.12)</li> </ul> <p>Adoption 3 draft decisions from Bonn on drivers, NFMS and safeguards.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Decision in line with Annex I of document FCCC/SBSTA/2013/L.12.</li> <li>Decision in line with paragraph 9 of Annex I of document FCCC/ SBSTA/2013/L.12.</li> </ul> <p>IN ADDITION:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>LULUCF experts to develop technical report to be published by the Secretariat via web platform on UNFCCC website containing: technical annex, analysis of technical annex, areas for technical improvement; comments and capacity building needs</li> <li>Decisions are adopted.</li> </ul>	  
SBSTA/ SBI	<p><b>3) Coordination of support</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Find ways to improve the coordination of support for the implementation of REDD+ activities- agreeing best practices to avoid barriers to access REDD+ finance, ensure that support is delivered in a timely and coordinated manner.</li> <li>Consider the need of specific institutional arrangements or potential governance alternatives for REDD+ (decide on functions first and links to other discussions under Convention) through new or existing functions.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Countries to assign <b>national coordination entity</b> or focal point to serve as liaison to UNFCCC which may obtain RBF, and which i.a. addresses the following needs &amp; functions: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Strengthen information sharing and good practices</li> <li>Identify and consider possible needs and gaps</li> <li>Provide opportunities to exchange information between bodies under Convention and bilateral and multilateral finance entities</li> <li>Information on improving effectiveness of finance</li> </ul> </li> <li>National coordination entity to voluntarily meet annually to discuss above matters – start at SBSTA 41 in Dec 2014</li> <li>SBI to consider outcomes, existing institutional arrangements or need for potential governance alternatives – make recommendations @ COP 23, Dec 2017</li> </ul>	 



# REDD+ Scorecard UNFCCC COP19, SBSTA 39 November 2013

Issue	WWF REDD+ Expectations	Results	Score
SBSTA/ SBI	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Ensure the provision of adequate and predictable support beyond existing fast-start funding for the period until 2020</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>✓ Only recognition of need for adequate and predictable support</li> </ul>	
COP WP	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>sending a strong signal regarding the provision of adequate and predictable support; Acknowledge that commitments towards REDD+ finance must move beyond the existing fast-start period to include both the mid- and long-term.</li> <li>Decide on ways and means to transfer payments for results-based actions and to find ways to improve the coordination of REDD+ results-based finance.</li> <li>Send important signals to impact the design and operation of the Green Climate Fund.</li> <li>Explore relations including the Work Programme on Long-term Finance, the Standing Committee on Finance, the discussions on the New Market-based Mechanism and the Non-market Based Approaches.</li> <li>Link between RB finance and safeguards</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>✓ Recognizing importance and necessity of adequate and predictable finance for phase 1 and 2 (para 71 1/CP.16)</li> <li>✓ Establishment of information hub on the web platform of UNFCCC website to publish information on results-based actions and payments as well as: results in tonnes of Co2; RLs; summary of safeguards addressed; national strategy; NFMS; payments received through which entity.</li> <li>✓ Key role of GCF has been recognized</li> <li>✓ GCF (and others) encouraged to apply REDD methodologies decided under UNFCC</li> <li>✓ Standing Committee on Finance requested to consider the issue of financing for forests, including ways and means to transfer payments for results-based actions</li> <li>✓ Provide summary of information on how safeguards have been addressed and respected before obtaining RB finance</li> </ul>	    