







REDD+ Scorecard: COP 20, SBSTA 41, Lima 2014

Issue	WWF REDD+ Expectations	Results	Score
Integration of Forest and Land use in ADP discussions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Identification of forests and land use as a key emission reduction priority in pre-2020 • Recognition of forests and land use emissions as an integral part of INDCs • Recognition of forests and land use emissions as an integral part of the elements for the new climate agreement 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No explicit mention of the forest sector in the text. However, the continuation of the Technical Experts Meetings may provide an opportunity to have a follow up discussion on the land sector. Another opportunity in the near future to keep advocating for this is the high level event on enhancing implementation of climate action that will take place annually. • No specific recognition of the forest and the land sector as an integral part of INDCs, however, the Lima Call for Action includes language that leaves the door open for Parties to include it. • The elements annex include mentions of the land sector including explicit mentions of forests, in different sections (e.g. commitments/contributions, guiding principles of finance, institutional arrangements); however, the elements paper still contains too many options and it will be further negotiated, so no guarantees to get this recognition yet. 	
Adequate and predictable Finance	<p>Parties to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • make commitments towards REDD+ finance beyond the existing fast-start period to include both the mid- and long-term finance • come up with a pathway for REDD+ finance up to 2020 and beyond 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Germany and Norway announced the expansion of financial support for REDD+ in Colombia and Ecuador through the REDD+ Early Movers program; however, no more consistent financial commitments have been registered, in particular from countries that haven't contributed yet significantly to REDD+ finance. • Discussions on a probable pathway for REDD+ finance within the UNFCCC will take place in the next Standing Committee forum around June, 2015. 	



REDD+ Scorecard: COP 20, SBSTA 41, Lima 2014

Issue / Mandate SBSTA	WWF REDD+ Expectations	Results	Score
<p>Safeguard Information System SBSTA to consider the need for further guidance to ensure transparency, consistency, comprehensiveness and effectiveness when informing on how all safeguards are addressed and respected and, if appropriate, to consider additional guidance (12/CP.17)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> SBSTA 41 to develop further guidance that is supportive of developing countries' efforts to implement REDD+, and not a burden. <p>For further information see here: http://unfccc.int/resource/docs/2014/smsn/ngo/465.pdf</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> There was a clear division between developed vs. developing countries. Parties ran out of time and no agreement was reached, therefore no decision or conclusions were produced. Parties will continue negotiations in June, 2015. 	
<p>Joint Mitigation and Adaptation Mechanism (JMA)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Progress on how to address the SBSTA mandate regarding the need for further guidance for other policy approaches such as Bolivia's proposal on joint mitigation and adaptation. Whether REDD+ provides the flexibility to accommodate the main features of other policy approaches such as the joint mitigation and adaptation mechanism or if a complete new framework is needed. Whether other policy approaches such as JMA require development of alternative methodologies (such as indicators that could be used to assess performance of both mitigation and adaptation benefits). 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Parties continued discussions to decide if further guidance for other policy approaches is needed; most Parties were not comfortable adopting further methodological guidance. Bolivia remain reluctant to include its proposal under REDD+ and instead presented a Conference Room Paper as an effort to have it adopted as the basis of the negotiations; however Parties didn't agree to use it due to disagreement around some of its elements and language. 	



REDD+ Scorecard: COP 20, SBSTA 41, Lima 2014

Issue	WWF REDD+ Expectations	Results	Score
Coordination of Support At COP 21, the first meeting of the national entities or focal points will take place.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Parties to agree on how these annual meetings will be organized, structured and facilitated, and that Parties agree on making these meetings as inclusive as possible, allowing participation of CSOs, Indigenous Peoples' Organizations, the private sector, and other key stakeholders.• Those nominated to represent Parties in these meetings will be people engaged in REDD+ implementation	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• The meeting took place without eventualities; it was agreed that the ongoing and outgoing COP Presidencies will co-chair the Focal Points annual meetings.• Parties confirmed that CSOs and other observers are welcomed and encouraged to participate actively.• A number of Parties expressed the need to hold the annual meetings in an environment and setting that promotes exchange of views and information, and that don't replicate a negotiation setting.	A solid green circle, indicating a score of 100%.



LEGEND

- Progress
- Stalled Progress
- No Progress

GLOSSARY

REDD+: Reducing emissions from deforestation and forest degradation in developing countries; and the role of conservation, sustainable management of forests and enhancement of forest carbon stocks in developing countries.

RL: Reference Level.

SBSTA: UNFCCC Subsidiary Body for Scientific and Technological Advice.