



## Eco-rating of Russian Forest Products Companies

WWF Russia, together with the Russian rating agency *Expert RA*, has carried out a rating of Russian companies on their ecological performance. The rating takes into account specific features of the Russian logging, wood processing and pulp and paper industry. Russian companies were rated according to the ecological effects of their manufacturing process, level of environmentally sound management, according to the transparency of the company on environmental problems and their readiness to engage in dialogue.

Volga Paper	Eco A+
Kartontara	Eco A+
Oneghskiy LDK	Eco A+
Cherles	Eco A
Neusiedler Syktyvkar	Eco A
Arkhangelsk Pulp& Paper Mill	Eco B++
Chudovo - RWS	Eco B++
Kotlass Pulp&Paper Mill	Eco B++
Plitspychprom	Eco B++
Solikamskumprom	Eco B++
Solombala LDK	Eco B++
Terneyles	Eco B++
UILPK	Eco B++
National Timber Company	Eco B++
CKK	Eco B+
Igirma – Tayriku	Eco B+
Nord-West Timber Company	Eco B+
Solombala Pulp&Paper Mill	Eco B+
Stupino KPK	Eco B+
Ust – Pokshenga LPH	Eco B+
LEMO	Eco B+
New Enisey LHK	Eco B+
Dvina Harvesting	Eco B
SLT – Timberplant #3	Eco B
Shatura	Eco B
UST-Ighora Vernee Company	Eco B
Baykal Pulp&Paper Mill	Eco C++
Dallesprom	Eco C++
Tyndales	Eco C++
Pinjuga Harvesting	Eco C+

**EcoA++** The level of ecological responsibility is considered to be exceptionally high. Ecological risks are minimal

**Eco A+** The level of ecological responsibility is considered to be high. Ecological risks are insignificant

**Eco A** The level of ecological responsibility is considered to be rather high. Ecological risks are low

**Eco B++** The level of ecological responsibility is considered satisfactory. Ecological risks are rather low

**Eco B+** The level of ecological responsibility is considered to be acceptable. Ecological risks are moderate

**Eco B** The level of ecological responsibility is considered to be insufficient. Ecological risks are passable

**Eco C++** The level of ecological responsibility is considered to be low. Ecological risks are rather high

**Eco C +** The level of ecological responsibility is considered to be extremely low. Ecological risks are high

**Eco C** The level of ecological responsibility is considered to be unsatisfactory. Ecological risks are exceptionally high

“The rating shows that some companies are better than others and Western buyers should be aware of this”, said Andrej Ptichnikov, WWF forest specialist in Russia. “Buyers should influence these companies to improve their performance. All who want more insight into the ecological judgement on companies should contact WWF Russia.”