Key Performance Indicators for Forest Certification Cost-benefit Analysis

For more information, please contact karen.mo@wwfus.org

#	Indicator	Units	Pre/Post certification	Quantitative Results		ults	Qualitative Remarks	Research Notes & Clarifications
	Company Basics							
0.1	Company/project name	name	-					
0.2	Location	name	-					
0.3	Type of forest(s)	name	-					
0.4	Main species of production	name	-					
0.5	Main product categories	name	-					
0.6	Year started working towards certification	year	-					
0.7	Year of receiving certification	year	-					
0.8	Number of years needed to get ready for certification	years	-					
0.9	Number of employees, including contractors (2013) Annual turnover (in 2013) of all production of unprocessed	number	-					
0.10		US\$'000	-					
0.11	wood Annual production (in 2013)	m3 RWE	-					
0.11	Total concession area	ha RWE	-					
0.12	Total production area	ha	-					
0.13	Certified Area	ha	-					
0.14	Certified area as percentage of total area	%	-					
0.15	Annual production area	ha/yr	-					Provide 3 or 5 year average if available
0.16	Annual turnover of certified products	US\$'000	-					Provide 3 or 5 year average if available
0.17	Annual certified production	m3 RWE	-					Provide 3 or 5 year average if available
0.18	Turnover certified products as percentage of total turnover	%	-					1 101100 0 01 0 year average it available
0.20	Certified production as percentage of total production	%	-					
0.21	Turnover per ha of certified production	US\$/ha	-					Provide 3 or 5 year average if available
0.22	Turnover per m3 of certified production	US\$/m3	-					Provide 3 or 5 year average if available
0.23	Operating margin of certified operations	%						1 Tovido o or o your avorago ii availabio
0.24	Net profit margin of certified operations	%	_					Provide 3 or 5 year average if available
	Estimate for discount rate, based upon region, country,	, -						1 Torrac o or o your avorage it available
0.25	product range and sub-sector	%	-					
0.26	Other certification system	name	-					
0.27	Main motivation to get certified							
1.0	Direct certification costs			Total	Per certified ha	Per certified m3		
1.1	Pre-assessments / pre-audits	US\$	pre					
1.2	Initial audit	US\$	pre					
1.3	Other direct certification costs	US\$	pre					
1.4	Recurring audits		post					
1.5	Branding	US\$/year	post					
1.6	FSC License	US\$/year	post					
1.7	FSC Chain of Custody	US\$/year	post					
2.0	Indirect generic expenses							
2.1	Developing management plans, procedures and inventory	US\$	pre					
2.2	Developing management plans, procedures and inventory	US\$	post					
2.3	Staffing for certification	US\$/year						
2.4	Monitoring (social, ecological, legal issues)	US\$/year	post					
2.5	Training for certification	US\$	pre					
2.6	Training for certification	US\$/year						
2.7	Chain of Custody system Ecological and social expenses and HCV management	US\$/year	post					
		LICC	nro		-			
3.1	ESIAs ESIAs	US\$/year	pre		-			
3.2	Environmental/social impact mitigation activities	US\$/year US\$/year	post post		 			
3.4	Protected area within concession (HCV set-aside)	ha	post		1			
3.4	Opportunity costs of maintaining HCV set-aside area	US\$/year	post		1			
3.5	HCV management	US\$/year US\$/year	post		1			
3.7	Additional operations (mapping, demarkation)	US\$/year						
4.0	Capital expenditures	υσφιyeai	μυσι					
4.0	New machinery	US\$	pre					
4.1	New labour facilities	US\$	pre					
4.3	Safety equipment	US\$	pre					
4.4	Other additional costs	US\$	pre					
	Market benefits	υσφ						
0.0					1			1

			1				Tra
	B	1100/					If the premium is not a well established number,
5.1	Premiums - absolute	US\$/year	post				use the best estimate for premium percentage to
							calculate absolute value of premiums FSC premiums as a percentage of the turnover
							of certified production. Companies may not have
							precise information about premiums because the
5.2	Premiums - rate	%	post				effective premium depends on the wood species,
5.2	i terniums - rate	70	posi				the level of wood processing, the buyer, the
							market, the individual sales deal etc. If the
							premium is not a well established number,
							premium percentage may be best estimate
5.3	Increased lenght or rotation of contracts	months	post				Welliam delicentade may be deal earmaie
	· ·	US\$ and/or					
5.4	New markets / clients	other	post				
		measure					
		US\$ and/or	•				
5.5	Avoidance of sales loss	other	post				
0.0	7 Wordanies er sales isse	measure	Poor				
5.6	Other effects	various	post		1		
	Operation efficiency (changes from pre certification)		1F 00.	1	1		indicate the difference
	Outputs				1		
6.1	a. Volume	m3 / ha		1			
6.2	b. harvest area	ha/yr	1		1		
6.3	c. Value	\$ / m3	†				+
6.4	d. Efficiency	m3 / tree					
6.5	Log processing	\$ /m3					
6.6	Waste (effiency rate)	%					
6.7	Transport	\$ / m3					
0.7	Labor	φ / 1113					
6.8	Manning (field and mill)	# of worker	post				
0.0		USD (\$) /	posi				
6.9	Wages to workers	headcount	post				
6.10	Staff turnover rates	various	post				
6.10	Other benefits to workers	\$/year					
0.11	Other resource use	\$/year	post				
0.40							
6.12	Planting failure	various	post				
6.13	Herbicide use	various	post				
6.14	Pesticide use	various	post				
6.15	Fertilization appilication	various	post				Observation to a first of the community
6.16	Energy/fuel use	various	post		1		Changes may also be affected by energy price
6.17	Water use (in mill operations)	various	post				
	Other Financial benefits		,				
7.1	Change in access to capital	various	post	1			
7.2	Additional / alternative sources of capital (subsidies)	US\$	post	ļ			
7.3	Tax incentive - absolute	US\$	post				
7.4	Tax incentive - rate	%	post				
8.0 L	egal & Social effects	Luca					
8.1	Change in incidents of legality or bribery issues	US\$ or	post				
		number	ļ .				
8.2	Fines / penalties	US\$	post		1		
8.3	Change in accidents & safety incidents	Number	post		1		
8.4	Change in labour and social incidents (strikes and	Number	post				
	[community] disruptions)		'				
8.5	Staff morale	Up/down	post				
9.0	ntangible benefits						
9.1	Brand impact	Yes/no	post				
9.2	Stakeholders perception	Up/down	post				
		tonnes	ľ				
9.3	GHG reductions	CO ₂	post				
9.4	Others	302		+	 	-	
J. T	Othoro		ı	1	1	<u>l</u>	1