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## ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Full Form</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BND</td>
<td>Brunei Dollar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CITES</td>
<td>Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species of Wild Fauna and Flora</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DNP</td>
<td>Department of National Parks (Thailand)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EIA</td>
<td>Environmental Investigation Agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ID</td>
<td>Indonesia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IDR</td>
<td>Indonesian Rupiah</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFAW</td>
<td>International Fund for Animal Welfare</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IOSF</td>
<td>International Otter Survival Found</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IUCN</td>
<td>International Union for Conservation of Nature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JPY</td>
<td>Japanese Yen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KH</td>
<td>Cambodia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KHR</td>
<td>Cambodian Riel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LA</td>
<td>Lao Kip</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lao</td>
<td>Lao People's Democratic Republic (PDR)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCES</td>
<td>Law for the Conservation of Endangered Species of Wild Fauna and Flora (Japan)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MA</td>
<td>Management Authority (CITES)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MM</td>
<td>Myanmar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MMK</td>
<td>Myanmar Kyat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MY</td>
<td>Malaysia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MYR</td>
<td>Malaysian Ringgit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGO</td>
<td>Non-governmental organisation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OSG</td>
<td>Otter Specialist Group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDR</td>
<td>People's Democratic Republic (Lao)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PH</td>
<td>Philippines</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHP</td>
<td>Philippine Peso</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SGD</td>
<td>Singapore Dollar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSC</td>
<td>Species Survival Commission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAR</td>
<td>Tibet Autonomous Region</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TH</td>
<td>Thailand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THB</td>
<td>Thai Baht</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USD</td>
<td>American Dollar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VN</td>
<td>Viet Nam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VND</td>
<td>Vietnamese Dong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WCS</td>
<td>Wildlife Conservation Society</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WFFT</td>
<td>Wildlife Friends Foundation Thailand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WPSI</td>
<td>Wildlife Protection Society of India</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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The Illegal Otter Trade in Southeast Asia

**SEIZURE**

**LIVE OTTERS**

59 SEIZED
2016-2017

**KEY COUNTRY; SOURCE & DOMESTIC TRADE**

Thailand

High volume seized
5 seizures
35 live otters

**KEY DESTINATIONS**

Japan

Implicated in
3 seizures
in Thailand
amounting to
32 live otters

Viet Nam

Implicated in
3 seizures
amounting to
15 live otters

**ONLINE TRADE**

**HIGH ONLINE TRADE**

in Indonesia, Malaysia, Thailand, Viet Nam

560 advertisements
over 4 months
January - April 2018

Average of
960 otters
observed for sale

**KEY COUNTRIES**

Indonesia

449 adverts with
an average of
711 otters for sale

Thailand

80 adverts with
an average of
204 otters for sale

Main species at risk:
Small-clawed Otter
International trade
regulated under CITES
Appendix II
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Read more: TRAFFIC Report: Illegal Otter Trade in Southeast Asia at www.traffic.org
Southeast Asia is home to four species of otters: Eurasian Otter *Lutra lutra*, Hairy-nosed Otter *L. sumatrana*, Small-clawed Otter *Aonyx cinereus* and Smooth-coated Otter *Lutrogale perspicillata*. While information on the prevalence of all four species in this region is sparse, it is generally considered that populations are in decline due to the increasing loss of suitable habitat, the impact of pesticides on their wetland biomes and human–otter conflicts caused by perceived or actual threat to local and commercial fisheries. Also a significant threat to otters in the region, but less understood, is the poaching of otters for trade to meet the demand for pets, for their fur, and for parts used in traditional medicines.

This study was undertaken to provide a current understanding of the otter trade in Southeast Asia. It was underpinned by TRAFFIC’s previous analysis of otter seizures from 1980–2015 that was published in 2016. Based on the findings of the seizure analysis, eight countries in Southeast Asia were recommended for further study—Cambodia, Indonesia, Lao PDR, Malaysia, Myanmar, Philippines, Thailand and Viet Nam. The current study focused on three areas of work i.e. an update of otter seizure analysis (August 2015–December 2017), physical market surveys in Cambodia, Indonesia, Lao PDR and Myanmar, and online trade surveys in Indonesia, Malaysia, Philippines, Thailand and Viet Nam.

Overall, the pet trade emerged as the most pressing threat to the survival of otters, particularly in Indonesia and Thailand. A total of 13 seizure records in four countries (Indonesia, Malaysia, Thailand and Viet Nam) were recorded from 2015–2017 involving the confiscation of 59 live otters, most of which were juveniles. Of these, at least 32 animals in three separate incidents were seized en route to Japan from Thailand. Most of the seizures occurred in Thailand, followed by Indonesia, Viet Nam and Malaysia. The exploitation of otters in these four countries was reinforced by observations of the online trade. Overall a minimum of 560 advertisements were analysed over a four-month period January–April 2018, with a minimum of 734 and a maximum of 1189 otters observed for sale. Most of these
As such, TRAFFIC makes the following recommendations to combat the illegal trade in otters and ultimately reduce the threat to this group of species:

**Legislation**

As the four otter species in Southeast Asia are listed in either CITES Appendix I and II, it is imperative that national legislation offers appropriate protection that enables the regulation of international trade. The high level of online trade observed in Indonesia, along with exports of reportedly captive-bred specimens, warrants the species to be regulated and protected by national legislation.
should list both the Small-clawed Otter and the Smooth-coated Otter as protected to prevent illegal hunting, trade and possession of these species. While Indonesia has regulations in place to control the trade of unprotected species by setting annual harvest quotas, there are no provisions in the law regarding penalties or fines against those found in violation of these quotas. The Wildlife and Wild Plants and Conservation of Natural Areas Law (1994) of Myanmar should list the Hairy-nosed Otter as a protected species. Although it is uncertain whether the species naturally occurs in the country, observations of trade in markets in Myanmar is an indication of international trade in a non-native CITES listed species, for which regulation is necessary. The removal of Small-clawed Otter and the Smooth-coated Otter as protected species in 2007 from Cambodia’s Law on Forestry (2002) should be rectified, and the species should be afforded protection, as without it, illegal harvest and trade cannot be regulated.

National legislation in all countries should also include provisions to regulate online wildlife crime; this would also be in line with CITES Decision 17.92\(^1\) on Combating wildlife cybercrime. The seriousness of the illegal trade should be reflected in both wildlife and online trade laws, particularly through high penalties for any transgressions of the law. Online trade undermines law enforcement efforts and complicates efforts to take regulatory action. Strong penalties could favour law enforcement agencies by serving as a strong deterrent, especially as online trade also encourages opportunistic trade, which should be weeded out.

**Regulation and Law Enforcement**

The high levels of online trade, particularly in Indonesia and Thailand, signal the need to enhance proactive investigation into the growing demand and online trade of otters as pets. Despite their protected status in most countries, otters are not only widely available, but easy to purchase. Arrests and seizures arising from online trade, for a range of species, are taking place in many Southeast Asian countries, but it is imperative that these arrests are followed through with investigations to determine players involved along the trade chain, from source to supply.

Claims of the existence of captive breeding activities taking place in Indonesia and Thailand need investigation and verification. Given there are no harvest quotas for wild otters in Indonesia, it should be made clear and transparent how parent stock is obtained for commercial breeding of otters.

Law enforcement knowledge and capacity should be enhanced across Southeast Asian countries to enable investigations, arrests and convictions of criminals trading in protected species to the full extent of the law. TRAFFIC and the IUCN Otter Specialist Group stand ready to assist relevant enforcement agencies in providing enforcement support and training with regards to identification of otter species and body parts, including distinguishing between the skins of the different otter species. Increasing the capacity of local law enforcement agencies has yielded positive results in curbing illegal trade of wildlife.

\(^{1}\) [https://www.cites.org/eng/dec/valid17/81840](https://www.cites.org/eng/dec/valid17/81840)
Collaboration between enforcement agencies in Indonesia, Malaysia, Thailand and Viet Nam should be enhanced to curb the illegal trading of wildlife occurring online. Incidents of illegal trade should be reported to the relevant law enforcement agencies for action. Alternatively, reports can be made directly to TRAFFIC, via the Wildlife Witness App which can be downloaded for iPhone [https://itunes.apple.com/us/app/wildlife-witness/id738897823?mt=8] or Android ([https://play.google.com/store/apps/details?id=com.taronga.wildwitness]). If taking place on Facebook, a direct report there is in line with Facebook’s Community Standards via its policy against any illegal activity, including wildlife crime ([https://www.facebook.com/help/181495968648557?ref=community_standards]). Reports can involve posts, messages, groups and other elements that can be a violation of legislation.

Future Research

Conservation organisations, particularly those focussing on wetland conservation, and research institutions should continue monitoring and reporting on any trade and demand for otters in Southeast Asia. This will not only support enforcement efforts but aid in the effort to understand better and gauge levels of illegal offtake and trade and detect emerging trends. This will also help guide and shape enforcement actions, conservation actions, decision making, and policy interventions.
Further research by conservation organisations, particularly those focusing on wetland conservation, and academic institutions is urgently needed into the status of wild populations of otter species in Southeast Asia to establish national conservation threat levels and guide conservation and law enforcement actions. This should also be increased at known strongholds of otter populations (e.g. southern regions of Thailand, Prek Toal conservation area in Cambodia, Nakai-Nam Theun National Protected Area, Lao PDR).

Considering their threatened status in Southeast Asia, along with incidents of international trade (including from TRAFFIC’s previous analysis of otter seizures from 1980–2015), the Parties to CITES should decide whether the up-listing of otters, from Appendix II to Appendix I is merited against criteria under CITES.

Public Awareness

Given that the observed trade is mostly illegal, governments and non-governmental organisations (NGOs) are encouraged to raise awareness and educate the public about the consequences of capture and trade of otters, particularly as online trade appears actively to target young animals for the pet trade. Arrests and criminalisation of those found to be hunting or trading in otters illegally should be publicised, along with the penalties being meted out, to serve as a deterrent to other would-be offenders.

Given the high demand in Indonesia and Thailand, governments and conservation organisations there are urged to explore and pursue avenues to educate consumers and reduce the demand for otters as pets. This may warrant the implementation of long-term consumer behaviour change campaigns on the live animal trade.
INTRODUCTION
Southeast Asia is home to four species of otters: Eurasian Otter *Lutra lutra*, Hairy-nosed Otter *L. sumatrana*, Small-clawed Otter *Aonyx cinereus* and Smooth-coated Otter *Lutrogale perspicillata*. While information on the prevalence of all four species in the wild is sparse, it is generally considered that populations are in decline due to increasing loss of suitable habitat, influence of and misuse of pesticides in man-made and natural wetlands and human–otter conflicts caused by perceived or actual threat to local and commercial fisheries (Aadrean *et al.*, 2015; de Silva *et al.*, 2015; Roos *et al.*, 2015; Wright *et al.*, 2015). Also a significant threat to otters in the region, but less understood, is the poaching for trade to meet the demand for pets, furs, and for parts used in traditional medicine (de Silva, 2011; IOSF, 2014; Gomez *et al.*, 2016).

There is very little information on the illegal otter trade in the region (e.g. magnitude, trafficking hotspots, whether the trade involves wild or captive-bred otters), perhaps because they are relatively low-profile species and not high on the conservation agenda (de Silva, 2011; IOSF, 2014). The potential threat trade to wild otter populations in Asia became more evident in 2006 when remarkable quantities of otter skins were incidentally discovered during a joint study by the Environmental Investigation Agency (EIA) and the Wildlife Protection Society of India (WPSI) into the big cat skin trade in China (Banks *et al.*, 2006). Openly for sale in local markets, otter skins were often found alongside Tiger *Panthera tigris* and Leopard *P. pardus* skins (in two years, no fewer than 1800 otter skins were recorded in a single market in Linxia, China) (Banks *et al.*, 2006). In response to this, the International Union for Conservation of Nature (IUCN) Species Survival Commission (SSC) Otter Specialist Group (OSG) launched its Asian Otter Task Force in 2007 to develop recovery strategies for otters in Asia. In 2015, TRAFFIC in partnership with the IUCN-SSC-OSG, conducted an analysis of otter seizures in Asia between 1980 and 2015 to understand the scale of the illegal trade and species impacted in this trade (Gomez *et al.*, 2016)\(^2\).

\(^2\)The study titled Illegal Otter Trade – An analysis of seizures in selected Asian countries between 1980 and 2015, was published and launched at the 13th International IUCN Otter Congress that was held in Singapore in July 2016 and can be downloaded at: http://www.traffic.org/home/2016/7/5/otters-in-asia-at-risk-from-demand-for-their-skins-and-incre.html.
That study, hereinafter referred to as the “otter seizure analysis”, revealed that tropical Asian otters were encountered in illegal trade, with 161 recorded otter seizures across 15 countries, involving an estimated 5881 individuals (Gomez et al., 2016). Most of the cases involved skins, especially in China, India and Nepal, and mostly involved the Eurasian Otter and Smooth-coated Otter. That said, there was a large number of seized skins (82%) which were not identified to species level owing to the difficulty of distinguishing between the skins of different otter species, and possibly to a lack of interest/prioritisation by law enforcement agencies in determining the species. There was also a rise in the number of otter skin seizures over the study period, but a decrease in the quantities being seized i.e. from two to three cases a year averaging 50 individuals per seizure, to eight seizures a year of about 30 individuals each. While more seizures could mean an improvement in enforcement efforts or increasing trade in otters, the lower quantities could imply declining otter populations.

The otter seizure analysis also showed that in Indonesia, Malaysia, Thailand and Viet Nam, otters were being captured to supply demand for the growing pet trade, in which the Small-clawed Otter and Smooth-coated Otter were evidently popular. The emerging trend of otters being traded and kept as pets was further revealed through preliminary surveys of social media websites in 2016 (e.g. Indonesia and Viet Nam).

While this initial study provided a preliminary understanding of the illegal otter trade in parts of Asia, it also highlighted significant knowledge gaps in the trade of otters in many Southeast Asian countries. To fill this gap, this study examines the otter trade in eight Southeast Asian countries (i.e. Cambodia, Indonesia, Lao PDR, Malaysia, Myanmar, Philippines, Thailand and Viet Nam) encompassing updated seizure analysis, market surveys and online trade monitoring.
In general, the Eurasian Otter, Hairy-nosed Otter, Small-clawed Otter and Smooth-coated Otter are nominally protected by legislation in most otter range states in Southeast Asia either by nationally accorded protection status as a threatened native species (i.e. the case in most range states) or by laws that prohibit the hunting, killing, capturing and selling of any wild animal (e.g. Singapore). However, this legal protection does not always extend to all otter species that may occur in a particular country. Table 1 provides the relevant national wildlife legislation for each of the eight Southeast Asian (SEA) countries assessed in this study along with the protection status of each species.
Most of the eight-assessed range states have legislations that are believed generally to meet the requirements for the implementation of the Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species of Wild Fauna and Flora (CITES) (assessed as Category I by the CITES National Legislation Project). The few exceptions include the Philippines (Category II), Lao PDR (Category III) and Myanmar (Category III), meaning that national laws in these countries do not meet the requirements necessary to implement CITES properly. In the case of Lao PDR and Cambodia, however, their wildlife laws are reportedly being amended to incorporate higher fines and criminal liability where lack of compliance with CITES is concerned. However, that said, Indonesia and Thailand, despite their Category I listing, have loopholes in their respective national wildlife laws that prevent the effective implementation of CITES. Once non-native CITES-listed species or CITES-listed species that are not listed in national legislation have entered the country, wildlife crimes involving these animals cannot be adequately prosecuted.
Table 1. Protection Status of Otters in Cambodia, Indonesia, Lao PDR, Malaysia, Myanmar, Philippines, Thailand and Viet Nam

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country/territory</th>
<th>Otter Species Present</th>
<th>Protection Status</th>
<th>Legislation</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cambodia</td>
<td>Eurasian Otter</td>
<td>Protected</td>
<td>Law on Forestry (2002)</td>
<td>Under this law it is prohibited to hunt, possess, process, transport, import and engage in trade of listed species or their parts and derivatives. Captive breeding of listed species is only allowed with a permit issued by the Forestry Administration upon agreement with the Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries. Violation of the law can result in fines of up to five years imprisonment and/or a fine of up to KHR100 million (USD24 896). Both the Small-clawed and Smooth-coated Otters were removed from the revised Protected Species List (2007).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hairy-nosed Otter</td>
<td>Protected</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Small-clawed Otter</td>
<td>Not protected</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Smooth-coated Otter</td>
<td>Not protected</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indonesia</td>
<td>Eurasian Otter</td>
<td>Protected</td>
<td>Act of the Republic of Indonesia No.5 of 1990 concerning conservation of living resources and their ecosystems</td>
<td>Calls for the legal protection of all Indonesian otter species (Kusumawardhani et al. 1994) led to respective commitments given at the First Symposium on Otters in Indonesia in 1994 to assign all four otter species the status as a protected species (Melisch et al., 1994). However, legal follow-up by Indonesia in 1999 only partially implemented these steps by granting full protection status to two of the four species only.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hairy-nosed Otter</td>
<td>Protected</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Small-clawed Otter</td>
<td>Not protected</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Smooth-coated Otter</td>
<td>Not protected</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Government Regulation No 7/1999 on Preservation of Flora and Fauna.</td>
<td>Under the Conservation Act No 5/1990, wildlife falls into two categories i.e. protected or unprotected. Protected has been defined as wildlife that is considered endangered or rare (but the criteria used to classify them as such are unknown). Offences are punishable by a five-year prison sentence and a fine of IDR100 million (USD7 200).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Government Regulation No. 8, 1999 on Utilization of Wild Plants and Animals.</td>
<td>Government Regulation No 7/1999 lists species that are protected in the country in which the only otter species included are the Eurasian and Hairy-nosed Otters and states that it is prohibited to catch, keep, possess, care for or transport protected animals without permission. That said, under Government Regulation No. 8, 1999, the trade of a Protected species is permitted if the specimens are captive-bred. Captive-bred animals are also subject to regulations under the Decree of the Ministry of Forestry, No.P.19/Ministry of Forestry-II/2005 concerning captive management of wild plant and animal species which defines that only second and subsequent generations of captive-bred Protected animals may be traded. Hunting and trade in animals that are not protected is regulated under Regulation of the Minister of Forestry No. 447/Kpts-II/2003 concerning administration directive of harvest or capture and distribution of the specimens of wild plant and animal species. The regulation states that a yearly provincial quota is set for all animals that can be captured in the wild. Catching animals for which no quota has been set, in excess of quota that have been set, or outside provinces for which quotas have been set, is deemed illegal, even when the species concerned is not considered protected. No harvest quotas have been established for otters. No punishments for transgressions are stated however, and therefore this regulation is difficult to enforce.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Country/Territory</td>
<td>Otter Species Present</td>
<td>Protection Status</td>
<td>Legislation</td>
<td>Notes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lao PDR</td>
<td>Eurasian Otter</td>
<td>Protected</td>
<td>Wildlife and Aquatic Law (2007) (currently being amended)</td>
<td>All otter species are protected under Category 1 (Prohibition) of this law which prohibits, the catching, hunting (including removal of carcasses, organs and parts), trading and possession of animals under this Category, unless authorised by the government. This Law also prohibits the trade of Category I species unless they are second or third generation captive-bred. Previously, violations in the law resulted in a penalty of about USD72. The Lao National Assembly is revising its Penal Code, to incorporate higher penalties for wildlife trafficking.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hairy-nosed Otter</td>
<td>Protected</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Small-clawed Otter</td>
<td>Protected</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Smooth-coated Otter</td>
<td>Protected</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malaysia</td>
<td>Eurasian Otter</td>
<td>Protected</td>
<td>Wildlife Conservation Act (2010)</td>
<td>All four otter species are listed in the highest protection category, Totally Protected, in the Wildlife Conservation Act 2010 of Peninsular Malaysia, whereby species may only be traded for non-commercial purposes, pending approval/permission from the Ministry. Hunting or keeping such wildlife without permits is punishable by a maximum fine of MYR100 000 (USD23 462) and/or a maximum jail sentence of three years.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hairy-nosed Otter</td>
<td>Protected</td>
<td>Wild Life Protection Ordinance (1998)</td>
<td>Under the Wild Life Protection Ordinance (1998) of Sarawak all otter species are listed as Protected, the second highest protection category. Hunting, capturing, possessing, selling, offering for sale or even claiming to offer such an animal for sale without a licence, is prohibited. Offences result in a one year prison sentence and a MYR10 000 (USD2346) fine.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Small-clawed Otter</td>
<td>Protected</td>
<td>Wildlife Conservation Enactment (1997)</td>
<td>Sabah’s Wildlife Conservation Enactment (1997) does not list Eurasian Otter (Southeast Asian subspecies L. lutra barang) as Protected, unlike the other three species. However, since CITES Appendix I listed species are treated similarly to Totally Protected species if the violation involves cross-border trade, and Eurasian Otter is listed in Appendix I, it is treated as Totally Protected in such cases. Hunting otters in Sabah results in a fine of up to MYR100 000 (USD25 644) and/or up to five years imprisonment. For the Eurasian Otter, fines of up to MYR250 000 (USD64 110) and up to five years imprisonment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Smooth-coated Otter</td>
<td>Protected</td>
<td>International Trade in Endangered Species Act (2008)</td>
<td>Malaysia’s CITES-implementing legislation, the International Trade in Endangered Species Act (2008) is applicable in Peninsular Malaysia, Sabah and Sarawak. Anyone found guilty, including businesses, of illegally importing or exporting any CITES-listed species can be liable to a fine of up to MYR2 million (USD516 941) and a seven year jail term.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Country/Territory</td>
<td>Otter Species Present</td>
<td>Protection Status</td>
<td>Legislation</td>
<td>Notes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myanmar</td>
<td>Eurasian Otter</td>
<td>Protected</td>
<td>Protection of Wildlife and Wild Plants and Conservation of Natural Areas Law (1994).</td>
<td>The Hairy-nosed Otter is not listed as a protected species as it is not recognised as occurring in Myanmar. The other three species are listed as Completely Protected whereby, hunting, killing, possession, selling, transport or transfer of wildlife and wildlife parts is prohibited along with commercial transactions. Conviction of such crimes results in a fine of up to MMK50 000 (USD37), a prison term of up to seven years or both.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hairy-nosed Otter</td>
<td>Not protected</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Small-clawed Otter</td>
<td>Protected</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Smooth-coated Otter</td>
<td>Protected</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Philippines</td>
<td>Small-clawed Otter</td>
<td>Protected</td>
<td>Wildlife Resources Conservation and Protection Act RA9147 (2001)</td>
<td>The Small-clawed Otter is protected under the Wildlife Resources Conservation and Protection Act, Republic Act No. 9147 (2001), which lists it as Endangered. Imprisonments of between one year and two years and/or fines of PHP20 000 (USD380) to PHP200 000 (USD3932) are adjudged on conviction of trading, collecting, hunting or possessing otters without a permit. Permits are only given for scientific or breeding purposes for endangered species if the best available information or scientific data show that these activities are not detrimental to the species's survival and its habitat. A permit is needed for commercial breeding and only progeny and unproductive parent stock may be traded.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Palawan)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thailand</td>
<td>Eurasian Otter</td>
<td>Protected</td>
<td>Wild Animals Preservation and Protection Act (1992)</td>
<td>This law prohibits the possession and trade of protected wild animals and their carcasses unless listed in Section 17 of the Act (which pertains to wildlife that can be bred, however otters are not included). Protected species are listed in the Regulation annexing List of Protected Species, B.E. 2546 and all otter species are listed as protected therein. Violations are punishable by a maximum prison sentence of four years and a maximum fine of THB40 000 (USD1278) or both.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hairy-nosed Otter</td>
<td>Protected</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Small-clawed Otter</td>
<td>Protected</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Smooth-coated Otter</td>
<td>Protected</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viet Nam</td>
<td>Eurasian Otter</td>
<td>Protected</td>
<td>Decree No.32/2006/ND-CP</td>
<td>All species of otters in the country are protected under the list of endangered, precious and rare forest plants and animals. Decree 32 (Group IB) and Decree 160, prohibit the exploitation and use of otters for commercial purposes. Violations are punishable by measures set out in either the Penal Code No. 100/2015/QH13 (along with Law No. 12/2017/QH14 Amending and Supplementing a number of articles in the Penal Code No.100/2015/QH13) for criminal offences or the Government's Decree no. 157/2013/ND-CP for administrative offences. The highest penalties under the Penal Code are fines up to VND2 billion (USD87 817) and a prison term up to 15 years while the highest penalties under Decree 157 are fines up to VND500 million (USD21 954) for individuals and VND1 billion (USD43 908) for organisations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hairy-nosed Otter</td>
<td>Protected</td>
<td>Decree No.160/2013/ND-CP</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Small-clawed Otter</td>
<td>Protected</td>
<td>Decree 157/2013/ND-CP</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Smooth-coated Otter</td>
<td>Protected</td>
<td>Law No. 12/2017/QH14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Penal Code No. 100/2015/ QH13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
METHODOLOGY
Seizure Data

Following-on from the previous otter seizure analysis which covered the period between 1980 and July 2015, this study looks at otter seizures occurring between August 2015 and December 2017 for eight Southeast Asian countries assessed in this study i.e. Cambodia (KH), Indonesia (ID), Lao PDR (LA), Malaysia (MY), Myanmar (MM), Philippines (PH), Thailand (TH) and Viet Nam (VN). Data were extracted from various sources, including TRAFFIC seizure data records, CITES trade database, media reports, grey literature and records from other non-governmental organisations (NGOs). Formal requests for otter seizure data were also sent to CITES Management Authorities (MA) in each of the eight countries. Only the CITES MAs of Indonesia, Malaysia and Myanmar responded to our request with only Malaysia providing data, while Indonesia and Myanmar responded that they had no otter related seizure data. Records of seizures of live or dead otters, their parts and derivatives across Southeast Asia were collected and compiled including where available information on date and place of seizure, origin and destination as well as commodities and quantities seized. Where species identifications were reported, these were accepted as given without further verification.

A “seizure country” was defined as the country where the seizure took place and could be either a source, transit or destination country.

A “source country” was defined as the first known point of a trade route.

A “transit country” was defined as a country which had functioned or was intended to function as both an importing and a re-exporting country in the trade route.

A “destination country” was defined as the last known reported point of a trade route.

Given the inconsistent manner in which seizures, enforcement actions and resulting prosecutions are reported and recorded by the different countries, it is unlikely that this dataset is representative of the complete set of seizures involving otters in Southeast Asia. Due to the inherently covert nature of the illegal wildlife trade, its true extent is unlikely to be reflected by the reported seizure data alone. Seizure records are an indirect measure of trafficking levels, but the data are inherently biased. This is due to a number of factors, including varying levels of law enforcement in each country, different reporting and recording practices of both law enforcement and media, variability in NGO behaviour and advocacy, language biases etc. Therefore, more seizures in one country may not necessarily translate into higher wildlife trafficking levels in comparison to other countries. It is acknowledged that the above-mentioned factors, among others, will ultimately influence the results of any seizure analysis, however, there is currently no comparable approach to gauge wildlife trafficking levels.
Surveys were conducted over a one year period between 2016 and 2017 in Cambodia, Indonesia, Lao PDR and Myanmar (Figure 1). These countries were chosen for market surveys based on the findings of the previous seizure analysis (1982–2015) i.e. in Cambodia, seizures were mostly of dead animals indicating otters being poached for their skin or traditional medicine trade; in Lao PDR and Myanmar, there was a scarcity of seizure data on otters but otters have been observed in trade in previous market survey studies in these countries; and in Indonesia, seizure data revealed a large domestic market for pet otters. Surveyed locations in each country were selected based on findings of previous research and market surveys undertaken by TRAFFIC and other organisations which had identified important wildlife trade areas. Information collected include price, origins and sources (wild or captive-bred), uses, turnover, etc. Table 2 provides details of areas surveyed for each country.

Table 2. Details of physical market surveys undertaken in each country between 2016 and 2017

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Dates</th>
<th>Locations Surveyed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cambodia</td>
<td>2–21 Nov 2016</td>
<td>Based on otter research in the country (Dong et al., 2010; Hon et al., 2010; Royan, 2010; Heng et al., 2016; Willcox et al., 2016) and discussions with local NGOs on areas where otters are hunted and areas known for wildlife trade, 16 locations around the country were identified for surveys. At each location all wildlife markets were visited and, where possible, additional information was gathered on the otter trade from local wildlife vendors.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indonesia</td>
<td>16 Nov–8 Dec 2017</td>
<td>Based on online surveys of the trade in otters, a large number of advertisements selling otters were located on the island of Java. Where this exceeded 10 or more advertisements, and where the presence of large wildlife markets have been recorded based on previous market surveys around the island (Profauna, 2009), 17 cities around the island of Java were selected for surveys. In each location, all wildlife pet markets were surveyed i.e. a total of 30 markets were visited.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lao PDR</td>
<td>18–28 Apr 2016, 19–22 Jul 2016, 6–20 Dec 2016, 25 Feb–18 Mar 2017</td>
<td>Based on previous studies in selected locations that identify Lao PDR as an important/potential wildlife trade hub (Nijman and Shepherd, 2012; EIA, 2015) and the scarcity of otter trade data, a country-wide survey was conducted across Lao PDR in which 50 locations were surveyed around the northern, central and southern regions of the country. Surveyed locations were selected based on findings of previous research into Lao PDR’s illegal wildlife trade, which had identified them as important/potential wildlife trade hubs. In the northern part of the country, a wider variety of retail outlets were encountered and surveyed, and included public markets, shopping malls, street stalls, traditional medicine shops, hotel shops, tourist markets and tourist shops. In the central and southern parts of the country, only markets and street stalls were surveyed as these were most prevalent. Shops/markets were selected based on the general type of wildlife product observed openly for sale and visited opportunistically, meaning that no predetermined list of shops was used during the survey.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myanmar</td>
<td>19–22 Jun 2017</td>
<td>Based on previous market surveys in Myanmar, two wildlife trading hotspots were identified for surveys i.e. Tachilek and Mong La. Tachilek was successfully surveyed in June. Mong La however was inaccessible during the survey time due to political issues there and no foreigners were allowed access to Special Region No. 4 where Mong La is located. Surveys were instead undertaken in neighbouring Kengtung. A roadside stall near the village of Parng Law was opportunistically observed with otter skins and this too was recorded.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 1. Market survey locations in Cambodia, Indonesia, Lao PDR and Myanmar between 2016 and 2017

Source: TRAFFIC
Online Surveys

Based on the previous otter seizure report, five countries in Southeast Asia were selected for online surveys i.e. Indonesia, Malaysia, Philippines, Thailand and Viet Nam as seizures in these countries mostly involved live otters for the pet trade. Online surveys were conducted over a 19 week period, between 1 January and 13 May 2017, focusing on Facebook groups and commercial trade portals advertising otters for sale. Only advertisements posted from 1 January 2017 onwards were gathered. Surveys consisted of one hour of research per week, gathering as many adverts on otters as possible. Websites advertising otters for sale were identified using a search engine and searching for combinations of words like “otter”, “sale”, “buy”, as applicable in the local language of each country. A similar method was used within Facebook to find groups in which trade of otters was likely to take place. Facebook groups were the main online market places that were looked at, with other commercial trade platforms only being looked at after Facebook groups had been surveyed. This protocol was maintained to maximise the number of advertisements that could be found in the allocated time.

Data extracted from each post/advertisement included location/base of operation of seller (if available), species of otter (accepted as stated where no pictures were provided), quantity, size and age of otters, price of item(s), method of communication and preferred method of payment. Posts/advertisements that did not display any intent of sale were left out of the data collection.

To avoid any inflation of numbers, care was taken to review every advertisement and eliminate all duplicates, including those that appeared with different dates. Different advertisements likely showcasing the same animals were marked as such i.e. estimations have been made both considering them as separate individuals and as the same individuals, to account for the fact that sometimes online traders re-use pictures for sale of different individuals. A distinction has also been made between actual number of otters advertised and where estimations have had to be made (not all posts/adverts relate the exact number of otters offered for sale). Estimations were based on the lowest number possible or counting them from pictures. For example, if a post advertised “otters” for sale without disclosing the numbers, a conservative estimate of a minimum and a maximum of two otters are recorded as being for sale.
RESULTS

Asian small-clawed otter
Seizure Data Analysis

A total of 13 seizure records were found between August 2015 and 2017. The majority of these occurred in 2017 (n=10 incidents) with only two occurring in 2016 and one in 2015. All seizures involved live otters amounting to 59 individuals. A relatively large number of juvenile otters were seized in these incidents (n=6 incidents amounting to 37 individuals). The Small-clawed Otter was the most frequent species seized (identified in at least seven incidents), the Smooth-coated Otter was identified in one incident and in five incidents, the species of otter seized was not reported (Figure 2).

There were only four countries in Southeast Asia that had recent records of otter seizures i.e. Indonesia, Malaysia, Thailand and Viet Nam (Table 4). These were the same countries identified in the previous otter seizure report as connected to a recent spike in the seizure of live otters i.e. the trade in live otters seemed to have begun in the early 2000s in Southeast Asian countries with numbers increasing in the four years (2011–2014) in terms of quantities being seized (averaging six individuals per seizure in comparison to previous years which averaged three to four individuals per seizure) (Gomez et al., 2016).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>No. of Seizures</th>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Indonesia</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Smooth-coated Otter</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Unidentified</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malaysia</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Small-clawed Otter</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thailand</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Small-clawed Otter</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Unidentified</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viet Nam</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Small-clawed Otter</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Unidentified</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>13</strong></td>
<td><strong>59</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

There were at least five incidents indicating the international trafficking of otters for the pet market. Four of these occurred in Thailand and all in 2017 (n=2 incidents at Don Mueang Airport, Bangkok; n=1 incident at Suvarnabhumi Airport, Bangkok; and n=1 incident at Hat Yai Airport)—all three airports service international routes. In at least three of these incidents, Japan was the intended destination, with 10–12 otters seized within the personal luggage of Japanese passengers in each case. A Japanese national was arrested in one of these incidents and claimed to have bought the animals at the notorious Chatuchak weekend market (long known for the availability of wildlife being sold illegally) for THB15 000 (~USD475) with the intention of raising them as pets back home in Japan. In the fourth incident which occurred at Hat Yai Airport, two Small-clawed Otters were seized from an 18-year old passenger but the intended destination was not reported. The fifth incident occurred in Viet Nam in December 2015, in which a Vietnamese man was arrested in Ho Chi Minh City attempting to sell nine Small-clawed Otter pups and other wildlife species. He claimed to have smuggled the wild animals from Thailand to sell as pets in Viet Nam and is considered to be a member of a larger wildlife smuggling ring by the Vietnamese authorities who have fined him several times previously for selling protected wildlife (An, 2015).
In an additional incident which occurred in Indonesia, four Smooth-coated Otters were seized from a truck at the Soekarno-Hatta Port in Makassar. While the shipment reportedly originated from East Kalimantan, the intended destination, whether catering to a domestic market or international market, was unknown.

Comparing the data from the previous otter seizure report, most of the seizures in Southeast Asia took place in 2002 onwards (barring two incidents that occurred in Lao PDR in 1987 and 1999 which are not reflected in the figure below) (Figure 3). The data also include additional seizure records not included in the previous study as these were newly found records obtained after the study was completed. This involved four additional seizures for Cambodia that occurred in 2008 and 2009 of four live Hairy-nosed Otters; and eight additional seizures for the Philippines between 2002 and 2014 involving 20 Small-clawed Otters, although it was not reported whether these were of live or dead specimens.

In total, there were 67 seizure records involving 178 otters for the eight countries between 2002 and 2017. The data show that the number of otter seizures in Indonesia, Thailand and Viet Nam increased after 2016, with a notable rise in 2017, including in Malaysia, along with quantities of otters seized. Most of this was attributed to the three seizures in Thailand en route to Japan which, combined, totalled 32 live Small-clawed Otters.
Figure 3. The number of (a) otter seizures per country and (b) quantity of otters seized per country from 2000 to 2017

Notes: KH - Cambodia, ID - Indonesia, LA - Lao PDR, MY - Malaysia, MM - Myanmar, PH - Philippines, TH - Thailand, VN - Viet Nam
Otters were found in all four countries surveyed, albeit in relatively small numbers (Table 5). This included five skins and two live otters, the majority of which were identified as Small-clawed Otter (n=5 specimens) followed by Eurasian Otter (n=2 specimens).

Table 5. The open availability of otters observed during the market surveys between 2016 and 2017 in selected countries.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Countries</th>
<th>No. of locations</th>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Commodity</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11 Nov 2016</td>
<td>Cambodia</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Small-clawed Otter</td>
<td>skin</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Village elder’s home in the town of Andoung Meas (Photo 1). He reported that it was an old piece of skin that he had had for several years, bought from a local hunter for USD50. He sold the skin in small pieces to people in the village in the belief that it assists with childbirth.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11&amp;22 Nov 2017</td>
<td>Indonesia</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Small-clawed Otter</td>
<td>live</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Wildlife traders in Serang (Photo 2) and Yogyakarta (Photo 3), Java. Juveniles between three and five months old being sold as pets, priced between IDR1.2million and 1.5million (USD90–115). Trader in Serang claimed the otter was captive-bred, and the trader in Yogyakarta claimed it was wild-caught.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22 Apr &amp; 8 Mar 2016</td>
<td>Lao PDR</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Small-clawed Otter</td>
<td>skin</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>One skin was found in Boten, drying on a clothes rack behind a row of shops. The owner of the skin was not known (Photo 4). The second skin was found in a traditional medicine stall in a wet market in Phonsavan (Photo 5). The stall owner was not around for further information to be obtained.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 Jun 2017</td>
<td>Myanmar</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Eurasian Otter</td>
<td>skin</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Two stuffed otters were found in a shop in Parng Law (Photo 6). They were for sale for medicinal use for MMK300 000 (USD224) each. According to the owner, he bought the otters from local hunters from the Akka tribe. He said he had had them for two years.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Photo 1. Dried skin of a Small-clawed Otter sold to women who believe it assists with childbirth by the village chief in Andoung Meas, Cambodia, 11 November 2016.

Photo 2. A juvenile Small-clawed Otter for sale in a pet shop located in the town of Serang, Indonesia, 18 November 2017.
Photo 3. A juvenile Small-clawed Otter for sale in a pet shop at a wildlife pet market in Yogyakarta, Indonesia, 22 November 2017

Photo 4. The skin of a Small-clawed Otter observed drying on a clothes rack behind a row of shops in Boten, Lao PDR, 22 April 2016
Photo 5. The skin of a Small-clawed Otter observed at a traditional medicine stall in a wet market in Phonsavan, Lao PDR, 8 March 2017

Photo 6. Two stuffed Eurasian Otters observed for sale for medicinal use at a roadside convenience store in Parng Law, Myanmar on 20 June 2017
Online Survey Analysis

A minimum of 560 advertisements were recorded over a four month period (this excludes any duplicates or re-posting of the same advert). The majority of these were recorded in Indonesia, followed by Thailand, Viet Nam and Malaysia (Table 6). The Philippines was the only country surveyed where no online advertisements were found over the study period.

Table 6. The number of online advertisements and estimated minimum and maximum number of animals involved

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>No. of traders</th>
<th>No. of Adverts</th>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Min No. of animals</th>
<th>Est. Max No. of animals</th>
<th>Average</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Indonesia</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>449</td>
<td>Small-clawed Otter</td>
<td>503</td>
<td>917</td>
<td>710</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Hairy-nosed Otter</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thailand</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>Small-clawed Otter</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>202</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Smooth-coated Otter</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malaysia</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Small-clawed Otter</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Smooth-coated Otter</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viet Nam</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>Small-clawed Otter</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Unknown otter species</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>560</td>
<td></td>
<td>734</td>
<td>1189</td>
<td>962</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In Indonesia 221 sellers were identified, eight in Malaysia and 44 in Thailand. In Viet Nam seven sellers were identified of which one was an online fashion store and another was an online pet store. All advertisements for otters were observed on Facebook with the exception of the above two mentioned store advertisements in Viet Nam.

A minimum of 734 and a maximum of 1189 otters were observed for sale across all four countries. On average, the highest numbers of otters for sale were recorded in Indonesia, followed by Thailand, Viet Nam and Malaysia (Table 6). This consisted mostly of Small-clawed Otters (98%), followed by Smooth-coated Otter (1%) and Hairy-nosed Otter (n=1 individual). There were an additional 15 advertisements for individual otter fur coats for sale, exclusively in Viet Nam, but these could not be identified to species level and neither could their origins be determined (explained further below). Apart from these fur coats, all other advertisements were for live otters, accounting for 98% of the observed trade. Furthermore, the majority of advertisements were for juvenile otters (<12 months), averaging around three-months of age.
There were 449 advertisements recorded for Indonesia, offering a minimum of 504 and a maximum of 918 otters for sale over the 19 week study period. Barring one advertisement selling a Hairy-nosed Otter, all other advertisements were for Small-clawed Otters (Photo 7). The majority of online traders appeared to be located around the provinces of West Java (42.6%), followed by Jakarta (21.7%) and Banten (18.2%) (Figure 4).

Most of the online advertisements in Thailand were from locations in Bangkok (39.6%) followed by Songkhla (27.1%) (Figure 4). The majority (90%) of otters offered for sale online however are reportedly sourced from the southern parts of the country (Department of National Parks (DNP) Thailand, pers comm, 2018). There were at least 80 advertisements recorded in Thailand offering a minimum of 185 and a maximum of 224 otters for sale over the study period (Photo 8). The majority of these were for Small-clawed Otters with only three animals identified as Smooth-coated Otters.
In Viet Nam, 21 advertisements were recorded over the study period. Six of these were for Small-clawed Otters amounting to at least 12 animals. A further 15 advertisements were for otter fur coats offered for sale by an online fashion store (Photo 9). It is impossible to tell from the advertisements whether the coats were made from genuine otter fur let alone from which otter species. There were also no other details regarding origins i.e. whether these were produced locally or imported (e.g. otter coats...
are manufactured and traded legally from North America). There were only ten advertisements from Malaysia (Photo 10) over the study period offering a minimum of 18 and a maximum of 20 otters for sale. Two of these were for Smooth-coated Otters and the remaining were for Small-clawed Otters. In comparison to Indonesia and Thailand, the demand for otters as pets seems to be relatively low in scale in Malaysia and Vietnam.

Photo 9. Advertisements for (a) otter fur coat (b) Small-clawed Otter for sale in Vietnam

Photo 10. Advertisement of (a) Smooth-coated Otter (b) Small-clawed Otter for sale on Facebook in Malaysia
TRAFFIC Report: Illegal Otter Trade in Southeast Asia

Hairy-nosed otter
DISCUSSION

The primary threat to otters in Southeast Asia from the illegal wildlife trade would appear to be exploitation for the pet industry, evident through the seizure and online data analysis which revealed the relatively high demand for live otters, a large proportion of which were juveniles. The Small-clawed Otter was the species most encountered during this study i.e. it was the species with the highest number of online advertisements with over 900 individual animals recorded over the space of four months and a survey effort of one hour per week (Table 6). It was also the most seized species and the one most encountered during the market surveys.

Indonesia and Thailand appear to play the most active role of source and demand countries for otters in the region. The pet industry in both countries is flourishing. Indonesia dominated, by a high margin, in the number of online advertisements for otters in comparison to the other countries assessed. Here, there are numerous “pet lovers” groups on Facebook dedicated to otters i.e. in February 2018, at least 14 groups were observed specifically dedicated to the keeping of otters with a combined number of 19,514 members. While few otters were observed for sale during the market surveys, traders expressed a willingness to acquire otters for the right price. Several traders claimed to have sold otters in the months preceding the market surveys. At least five traders claimed that otters were sourced from the wild as well as bred in captivity but the latter could not be verified. One wildlife trader at the Sukahaji Market in Bandung in West Java believed that all otters were captured from the wild, caught by local people using targeted otter traps. He also claimed previously to breed otters for the pet trade but had since switched to the more profitable trade in birds. It is possible there may be some limited breeding of otters taking place although the scale of this cannot be quantified. It would appear to be cheaper to trap wild otters than breed them; in addition, there did not appear to be a steady supply of otters into the pet markets visited, suggesting that breeding was not actively and consistently supplying the market.

While Indonesia is home to four species of otters, under the current wildlife
laws, only the Eurasian Otter and Hairy-nosed Otter are listed as protected species. That said, both the Small-clawed Otter and Smooth-coated Otter, are technically afforded some level of protection under Regulation of the Minister of Forestry No. 447/Kpts-II/2003 concerning administration directive of harvest or capture and distribution of the specimens of wild plant and animal species which governs the hunting and/or harvesting of non-protected species. Within this Regulation, yearly provincial quotas are set for all animals that can be captured in the wild. No harvest quotas have been established for either of the two unprotected otter species found in Indonesia and therefore it should technically be illegal to hunt/or trade in these two species.

In Thailand, the Wildlife Friends Foundation, a non-governmental organisation that rescues captive animals, has steadily been receiving calls from owners seeking healthcare for their pet otters or refuge for unwanted pets, reportedly purchased from captive-breeders or off social media sites (WFFT, 2017). Exotic pet cafes (that display wildlife) have also become more prominent in Thailand (Yee, 2017), which could be fuelling the demand for exotic animals like otters. All four otter species are completely protected in Thailand and under current legislation they cannot be hunted, traded, owned, propagated/bred, imported or exported. Yet there seems to be little control or enforcement action taking place given their easy and open availability on social media. Much like Indonesia, there are unverified accounts of otter captive-breeding facilities that supply the market demand in Thailand. However, the DNP reports that there are no legal otter farms in the country and that only zoos have permits to keep otters (DNP Thailand, pers comm., 2018).

Both Indonesia and Thailand are also implicated in the trafficking of otters to Japan. There were three seizures in Thailand alone of 32 live otters en route to Japan. Small-clawed Otters have also been observed for sale at exotic pet shops and reptile fairs in Japan (TRAFFIC, unpubl. data). In January 2018, a spot survey of Japan’s biggest reptile fairs found two adult Small-clawed Otters for sale for JPY1.7mil/pair (~USD13 000) and three pups for JPY950 000/pup (~USD7 200). The seller claimed the two adults were a breeding pair and that the pups were bred domestically, although not from the two adults on display (Keiko Wakao and Tomomi Kitade, TRAFFIC, pers comm., 2018). Additionally, there was one pet shop in Tokyo that reported to import captive-bred otter pups from what was claimed to be the only government approved otter breeding facility in Indonesia (Keiko Wakao and Tomomi Kitade, TRAFFIC, pers comm., 2018). However, upon further questioning of staff at the shop regarding the licensed facility, the response received raised doubts as to whether the pups were actually bred in captivity or taken from wild parents and raised in captivity, making them illegal specimens.

In 2016, there were at least three records in the CITES Trade Database showing the export of captive-bred Small-clawed Otters from Indonesia; two were to Japan (eight animals reported to be for commercial and personal purposes), and one to China (six animals for commercial purposes). The existence or otherwise of a licensed captive-breeding facility in Indonesia is yet to be verified. However, given there are no harvest quotas for wild otters in Indonesia, it is highly questionable how parent stock would have been obtained for any captive-breeding facility. This is further compounded by loopholes in Japan’s wildlife law i.e. the Law for the Conservation of Endangered Species of Wild Fauna and Flora (LCES), which only protects species that are listed in CITES Appendix I. There are no provisions in the law to take action against traders who illegally import and subsequently trade in CITES Appendix II species, like otters, once they are in the country. This also means that Japan is unable to implement and comply with CITES requirements effectively to regulate non-native CITES-listed species entering international trade.

There seems to be minimal open trade of otters in physical markets in Indonesia, Cambodia and Lao PDR. Despite the extensive areas covered during the market survey (with the exception of Myanmar), very few otters were observed openly for sale. This could be due to depleted otter populations in the
wild as noted by Duckworth and Hills (2008) in Cambodia, Lao PDR and Myanmar. It could also be due to successful enforcement efforts in some areas. Conservation NGOs like Wildlife Alliance in Cambodia and Scorpion in Indonesia for example have been active in supporting enforcement efforts to curb the poaching and trafficking of protected species. Cambodia had the highest recorded number of otter seizures compared to any other Southeast Asian country between 2001 and 2014. In Indonesia, at least three traders remarked during the market survey that they no longer sold otters as they were considered to be protected species. In Cambodia, however, recent research by the Wildlife Conservation Society (WCS) on otter populations around the Tonle Sap area in 2015–2016, found that otters were the fifth most mentioned animals that traders could capture there (the first four all being reptiles) and these were typically reported to be traded to China through Viet Nam, with little domestic demand for otters (Mahood and Brooks, in prep.). This is concerning considering neither the Small-clawed Otter nor the Smooth-coated Otter are protected in Cambodia. They also note that while traders could generally supply otters on demand, the trade in otters in Cambodia has declined in recent years in both quantity and price. Similar findings were observed by Coudrat (2016) in a preliminary assessment of otter populations in the Nakai-Nam Theun National Protected Area in Lao PDR, who stated that trapping pressure on otters has reduced to a certain extent due to less demand for otter skins, although they are still targeted by Vietnamese hunters.

While Malaysia, Myanmar, the Philippines and Viet Nam were implicated in the illegal trade of otters, numbers of otters in trade recorded were small, mostly to supply the pet trade and to a lesser extent trade in skins (in reference to the two stuffed observed for sale in Myanmar and online advertisements of otter fur in Viet Nam). Overall trade data, whether from seizures, market surveys or online surveys, were however insufficient to draw any firm conclusions as to the extent of otter trade in these countries.
CONCLUSION & RECOMMENDATIONS
This assessment provides a snapshot of the commercial exploitation of otters taking place both domestically and internationally in clear violation of national laws and CITES regulations, with a large proportion of the trade apparently feeding a local demand within Southeast Asia. While few otters were observed in the markets, the online trade in otters is clearly considerable and appears to be the most immediate threat. The open nature of the observed online trade clearly shows a blatant disregard for national legislation and regulations. Otters’ popularity as pets, especially Small-clawed Otters, is a concern, particularly in Indonesia and Thailand. This flourishing demand is not just illegal, but a potential threat to the long-term survival of wild otter populations. Regarding international trade, the trafficking of otters from these countries to Japan is especially concerning considering loopholes in Japanese law that prevent enforcement action. Unsurprisingly, there are few data available on the four otter populations that would allow understanding of population sizes or densities in the wild. This makes it difficult to determine how significantly otters are being impacted by trade. However, with the relatively high frequency (that is potentially increasing) of the trade in live animals, combined with the loss of suitable habitat, the impact of pesticides on wetlands and human–otter conflict, the trade is likely a risk to the long-term survival of remaining wild otter populations in Southeast Asia. In light of this, and the findings from this study, TRAFFIC makes the following recommendations:
Legislation
As all four otter species in Southeast Asia are listed in either CITES Appendix I or II, it is imperative that national legislation offers appropriate protection that enables the regulation of international trade. The high level of online trade observed in Indonesia, along with reported exports of captive-bred specimens, warrants the species to be regulated and protected by national legislation. Government Regulation No. 7/1999 on Preservation of Flora and Fauna, which is currently undergoing revision, should list both the Small-clawed Otter and the Smooth-coated Otter as protected to prevent illegal hunting, trade and possession of these species. While Indonesia has regulations in place to control the trade of unprotected species by setting annual harvest quotas, there are no provisions in the law regarding penalties or fines against those found in violation of these quotas. The Wildlife and Wild Plants and Conservation of Natural Areas Law (1994) of Myanmar should list the Hairy-nosed Otter as a protected species. Although it is uncertain whether the species naturally occurs in the country, observations of trade in markets in Myanmar is an indication of international trade in a non-native CITES listed species, for which regulation is necessary. The removal of Small-clawed Otter and the Smooth-coated Otter as protected species in 2007 from Cambodia’s Law on Forestry (2002) should be rectified, and the species should be afforded protection, as without it, illegal harvest and trade cannot be regulated.

National legislation in all countries should also include provisions to regulate online wildlife crime; this would also be in line with CITES Decision 17.92⁴ on Combating wildlife cybercrime. The seriousness of the illegal trade should be reflected in both wildlife and online trade laws, particularly through high penalties for any transgressions of the law. Online trade undermines law enforcement efforts and complicates efforts to take regulatory action. Strong penalties could favour law enforcement agencies by serving as a strong deterrent, especially as online trade also encourages opportunistic trade, which should be weeded out.

Regulation and Law Enforcement
The high levels of online trade, particularly in Indonesia and Thailand, signal the need to enhance pro-active investigation into the growing demand and online trade of otters as pets. Despite their protected status in most countries, otters are not only widely available, but easy to purchase. Arrests and seizures arising from online trade, for a range of species, are taking place in many Southeast Asian countries, but it is imperative that these arrests are followed through with investigations to determine players involved along the trade chain, from source to supply.

Claims of the existence of captive breeding activities taking place in Indonesia and Thailand need investigation and verification. Given there are no harvest quotas for wild otters in Indonesia, it should be made clear and transparent how parent stock is obtained for commercial breeding of otters.

Law enforcement knowledge and capacity should be enhanced across Southeast Asian countries to enable investigations, arrests and convictions of criminals trading in protected species to the full extent of the law. TRAFFIC and the IUCN Otter Specialist Group stand ready to assist relevant enforcement agencies in providing enforcement support and training with regards to identification of otter species and body parts, including distinguishing between the skins of the different otter species. Increasing the capacity of local law enforcement agencies has yielded positive results in curbing illegal trade of wildlife.

Collaboration between enforcement agencies in Indonesia, Malaysia, Thailand and Viet Nam should be enhanced to curb the illegal trading of wildlife occurring online. Incidents of illegal trade should be reported to the relevant law enforcement agencies for action. Alternatively, reports can be made

⁴https://www.cites.org/eng/dec/valid17/81840
directly to TRAFFIC, via the Wildlife Witness App which can be downloaded for iPhone https://itunes.apple.com/us/app/wildlife-witness/id738897823?mt=8 or Android (https://play.google.com/store/apps/details?id=com.taronga.wildwitness). If taking place on Facebook, a direct report there is in line with Facebook's Community Standards via its policy against any illegal activity, including wildlife crime (https://www.facebook.com/help/181495968648557?ref=communi%20ty_standards). Reports can involve posts, messages, groups and other elements that can be a violation of legislation.

Future Research
Conservation organisations, particularly those focussing on wetland conservation, and research institutions should continue monitoring and reporting on any trade and demand for otters in Southeast Asia. This will not only support enforcement efforts but aid in the effort to understand better and gauge levels of illegal offtake and trade and detect emerging trends. This will also help guide and shape enforcement actions, conservation actions, decision making, and policy interventions.

Further research by conservation organisations, particularly those focussing on wetland conservation, and academic institutions is urgently needed into the status of wild populations of otter species in Southeast Asia to establish national conservation threat levels and guide conservation and law enforcement actions. This should also be increased at known strongholds of otter populations (e.g. southern regions of Thailand, Prek Toal conservation area in Cambodia, Nakai-Nam Theun National Protected Area, Lao PDR, etc).

Considering their threatened status in Southeast Asia, along with incidents of international trade (including from TRAFFIC’s previous analysis of otter seizures from 1980–2015), the Parties to CITES should decide whether the up-listing of otters, from Appendix II to Appendix I is merited against criteria under the CITES.

Public Awareness
Given that the observed trade is mostly illegal, governments and non-governmental organisations (NGOs) are encouraged to raise awareness and educate the public about the consequences of capture and trade of otters, particularly as online trade appears actively to target young animals for the pet trade. Arrests and criminalisation of those found to be hunting or trading in otters illegally should be publicised, along with the penalties being meted out, to serve as a deterrent to other would-be offenders.

Given the high demand in Indonesia and Thailand, governments and conservation organisations there are urged to explore and pursue avenues to educate consumers and reduce the demand for otters as pets. This may warrant the implementation of long-term consumer behaviour change campaigns on the live animal trade.
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